S.B. Civil Second Appeal No.298/2005

DATE OF ORDER : - 26.8.2005

HON'BLE MR. PRAKASH TATIA,J.

Mr. MR Thanvi, for the appellant.

<><><>

Heard learned counsel for the appellant.

After going through the facts mentioned in the judgments of the two courts below, this Court is of the opinion that the two courts below rightly held that the plaintiff proved the case of sub-letting of the shop in dispute, particularly in view of the statement of DW-1 himself in cross-examination. The courts below have rightly reached to the conclusion that the appellant-tenant was not sitting on the shop nor he was doing any business in the shop. No substantial questions of law are involved in this appeal.

Hence, the appeal of the appellant is dismissed.

(Prakash Tatia), J.

c.p.goyal/-